WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDEI	R OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Guadalupe Vega-Pacheco		Case Number:	08-6298M	
present and wa	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. as represented by counsel. I conclude defendant pending trial in this case	by a preponderance of the evid	was held on October 15, 2008. Defendant was dence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
		FINDINGS OF FACT		
	onderance of the evidence that:			
	The defendant is not a citizen of the	•	•	
	·	defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant co	he defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximum	n ofye	ars imprisonment.	
The Co at the time of the	ourt incorporates by reference the mane hearing in this matter, except as n	terial findings of the Pretrial Ser oted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	vices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defe No condition or combination of con	endant will flee.	ne appearance of the defendant as required.	
a corrections fa appeal. The de of the United S	fendant is committed to the custody acility separate, to the extent practical efendant shall be afforded a reasonal tates or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the purp	of the Attorney General or his/h ble, from persons awaiting or ser ble opportunity for private consul the Government, the person in o	er designated representative for confinement in ving sentences or being held in custody pending tation with defense counsel. On order of a cour charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ction with a court proceeding.	
IT IS O deliver a copy of Court.	RDERED that should an appeal of the	is detention order be filed with t	the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to the day prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
IT IS F Services suffic	URTHER ORDERED that if a release iently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	to a third party is to be conside ore the District Court to allow P	red, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria retrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATE	ED this 16 th day of October,	2008.		
		- Comp		

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge